

TABLE OF CONTENTS
PART I: INTRODUCTION

A.	THE CASE	1
B.	NOVA SCOTIA'S MEMORIAL.....	4

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART II: THE FACTS

A.	Introduction	1
B.	The Parties' Conduct In Respect Of The Negotiation And Implementation Of The Line Dividing Their Offshore Areas	2
i)	The Parties Agreed On The Location Of A Boundary Line	2
a)	Agreement On The Boundary Was Reached In 1964 And Reaffirmed In 1972	2
b)	The Methods For Drawing The Boundary Were Also Agreed	5
C.	Offshore Oil And Gas Permit Issuance	5
i)	Summary Of The Parties' Permit Practice	6
a)	The Permit Practice Of Nova Scotia	6
b)	Newfoundland' Permit Practice	7
•	The 1967 Mobil Permit	8
•	The Katy Permit of 1971	8
•	Other Newfoundland Permits: Seismic Only	9
c)	Newfoundland's Explanations Regarding The Nova Scotia Permits and Its Failure To Object To Them	9
d)	Newfoundland's Explanations For Its Own Permit Practice	9
•	The 1967 Mobil Permit	10
•	The Katy Industries Permit of 1971	11
ii)	Newfoundland's Subsequent Conduct Demonstrating Acquiescence	12
a)	The Events Of June To August, 1972	12
b)	Newfoundland's Failure To Object To The 1984 Canada-Nova Scotia Legislation	16
D.	Conclusion	19

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART III: THE APPLICABLE LAW

INTRODUCTION	1
A. THE CONVENTIONAL LAW IS OF LIMITED UTILITY IN THIS ARBITRATION	1
i. The 1958 Geneva <i>Convention On The Continental Shelf</i> Governs A Zone That Differs Significantly From The Area To Be Delimited	2
ii. The 1982 <i>Convention On The Law Of The Sea</i> Is Not Directly Applicable To This Arbitration	6
B. THE CUSTOMARY LAW OF MARITIME BOUNDARY DELIMITATION DEFINES THE FUNDAMENTAL NORM	7
i. The Fundamental Norm Of Maritime Delimitation Requires The Application of Equitable Principles Taking Into Account Relevant Circumstances To Achieve An Equitable Result	7
ii. The Essential Characteristics Of The Fundamental Norm Recognize That Each Delimitation Is Unique	10
a) Equitable Principles Are Applied Within A Legal Framework	11
b) There Are No Mandatory Relevant Circumstances, Equitable Principles Or Practical Methods Of Delimitation	13
c) The Equitableness Of The Result Is The Predominant Consideration	16
iii. The Jurisprudence Provides Guidance On The Process For Applying The Fundamental Norm	17
iv. The Legal Basis Of Title Is Of Critical Importance	19
a) Legal Title Is A Circumstance of Special Relevance	20
b) Legal Title Affects The Choice Of Equitable Criteria	21
c) Legal Title Is Critical To Determining The Relevant Area And To Proportionality	23
d) Summary	24
CONCLUSION	25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART IV: THE RELEVANT CIRCUMSTANCES

A.	INTRODUCTION	1
B.	THE LEGAL ZONE AND THE BASIS OF ENTITLEMENT.....	2
i.	The “Offshore Area” Regime Is A Negotiated Entitlement	4
ii.	The Parties Have Only Limited Entitlements To Hydrocarbon Resources In The Offshore Areas	6
iii.	The Offshore Areas Run From The Coast To The Outer Limits Of Canadian Jurisdiction	7
C.	THE AREA RELEVANT TO THE DELIMITATION	8
i.	Overlapping Entitlements Under The <i>Accord Acts</i>	9
ii.	The Total Offshore Areas Of The Provinces	11
D.	THE CONDUCT OF THE PARTIES	13
i.	The Parties Agreed On The Location Of The Boundary.....	15
a)	The Degree of Mutuality	17
b)	The Reasons For Non-Implementation.....	18
c)	The Intended Finality Of The Agreed Boundary	19
d)	The Object And Purpose Of The 1964 Agreement.....	20
e)	The Parties’ Conduct Subsequent To The 1964 Agreement.....	26
ii.	The Parties’ Oil And Gas Permit Issuance	26
a)	The Concordant Practice Revealed By The Permits.....	26
b)	The Permits Are Referable To The 1964 Agreement.....	28
c)	Nova Scotia’s Permits Were Never Protested By Newfoundland	32
iii.	Newfoundland’s Conduct As Demonstrated By Acquiescence	32

iv.	Newfoundland's Later "Objections" Do Not Displace Its Prior Conduct.....	35
	a) The Doody Letter Of 1972.....	37
	b) Newfoundland's Seismic Permits: 1973-1975.....	40
	c) The 1977 Newfoundland White Paper And Petroleum Regulations.....	41
	d) Other Purported Objections By Newfoundland.....	43
v.	The Relevance Of The Parties' Conduct.....	44
vi.	The Overall Impact Of The Parties' Conduct.....	45
	a) From The "Tri-junction" To Turning Point 2017.....	46
	b) From Turning Point 2017 Southeast To 46° N.....	47
	c) From 46° N To 45° N.....	48
	d) From 45° N To 43° N.....	48
	e) Conduct Applicable To The Entire Boundary.....	48
vii.	Conclusions: By Their Conduct The Parties Established A <i>De Facto</i> Boundary..	50
E.	RESOURCE DISTRIBUTION.....	51
i.	The Relevance Of Resource Location And Access.....	51
	a) Resource Location And Access Are Potentially Relevant Circumstances.....	51
	b) The Relevance Of Resource Location And Access In This Case.....	54
ii.	Distribution Of The Relevant Resources.....	55
	a) Total Potential Resources.....	55
	b) Discovered Resources.....	56
	c) Conclusion: The Nature of Newfoundland's Deal.....	57

F.	OTHER DELIMITATIONS IN THE REGION	58
i.	The Relevance Of Other Delimitations	58
ii.	The Impact Of Other Delimitations In This Case	58
a)	The Gulf Of St. Lawrence And Bay Of Fundy	59
b)	North Of Labrador	60
c)	The Single Maritime Boundary With The United States	61
d)	St. Pierre And Miquelon	61
G.	GEOGRAPHIC CIRCUMSTANCES	63
i.	The Unity Of The Continental Shelf Of The East Coast	64
ii.	General Geographic Configuration Of The Region	65
iii.	The Relationship Of The Relevant Coasts	67
a)	Opposition And Adjacency	67
b)	In The "Inner" Segment The Coasts Are Primarily Opposite	69
c)	The "Outer" Segment Presents Mixed Adjacency and Opposition, But Are Primarily Adjacent	69
d)	The Extent Of The "Zone Of Opposition"	70
iv.	The Overall Impact Of The Macrogeographic Situation	71
H.	CONCLUSION: THE RELEVANT CIRCUMSTANCES	72

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART V: EFFECTING THE DELIMITATION

A.	INTRODUCTION	1
B.	THE APPLICABLE EQUITABLE CRITERIA	3
i.	The Conduct Of The Parties	4
ii.	The Equal Division Of Overlapping Entitlements	7
C.	PRACTICAL METHODS OF DELIMITATION.....	10
i.	Methods Based On The Conduct Of The Parties	10
a)	The Line Drawn By The Parties	10
b)	Applying The Methods Used By The Parties In The Inner Segment To Delimit The Outer Segment Of The Boundary.....	11
c)	The Result.....	13
ii.	Methods Based On The Equal Division Of Overlapping Entitlements	14
iii.	The Appropriate Technical Method Is A Loxodrome	16
D.	THE EQUITABLENESS OF THE RESULT	17
i.	The Conduct Of The Parties	18
ii.	Equal Division Of Overlapping Entitlements	18
iii.	Proportionality Of The Result	19
a)	The Role Of Proportionality	19
b)	The Application Of Proportionality In This Case.....	20
•	The Relevant Area.....	20
•	The Relevant Coasts.....	21
•	The Proportionality Of The Result	22
•	Comparison Of The Total Offshore Areas	23
iv.	Consideration Of Possible Cut-Off And Macrogeography	24
v.	Resource Division And Access	25
E.	CONCLUSION – THE BALANCING UP	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART VI: ACQUIESCENCE AND ESTOPPEL

A.	INTRODUCTION	1
B.	THE PRINCIPLES OF ACQUIESCENCE AND ESTOPPEL ARE WELL SETTLED	2
C.	NEWFOUNDLAND HAS ACQUIESCED IN THE LINE AND IS ESTOPPED FROM ESPOUSING AN ALTERNATIVE DELIMITATION	3
D.	THE CASE LAW CONFIRMS THAT THE CONDITIONS NECESSARY FOR ESTABLISHING ACQUIESCENCE AND ESTOPPEL HAVE BEEN MET IN THIS CASE.....	6
E.	CONCLUSION	13

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART VII: CONCLUSIONS AND SUBMISSION

Signature Page5

TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPENDIX B:

POTENTIAL OFFSHORE ENTITLEMENTS OF NOVA SCOTIA AND NEWFOUNDLAND

A.	INTRODUCTION	1
B.	ARTICLE 76 OF THE 1982 <i>LAW OF THE SEA CONVENTION</i>	1
i.	Outer Limit Formulae	3
ii.	Outer Limit Constraints	4
iii.	Application of the Formulae and Constraints	5
a)	Application of the Formulae	5
b)	Application of the Constraints	5
c)	Application of the Constraints to the Potential Entitlement.....	6
C.	APPLICATION OF THE METHOD TO NOVA SCOTIA AND NEWFOUNDLAND	6
i.	Newfoundland's Potential Entitlement	7
ii.	Nova Scotia's Potential Entitlement	8