

ARBITRATION BETWEEN
NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR
AND
NOVA SCOTIA
CONCERNING THE DELIMITATION OF
THEIR OFFSHORE AREAS

PHASE TWO

COUNTER MEMORIAL OF
NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR

ANNEX OF FIGURES

17 OCTOBER 2001

ILLUSTRATIONS

Figure 1: The Gulf of St. Lawrence, Inner Concavity and the Outer Area

Figure 2: The So-Called “Coastal Concavity”

Figure 3: Nova Scotia Identifies as Relevant, Coasts Whose Projections Do Not Converge and Overlap

Figure 4: The Relevant Area Must be an Area of Overlapping Coastal Projections

Figure 5: The Relevant Coasts

Figure 6: Drill Sites Identified in Nova Scotia Figure 33 Were in Areas Covered by Federal Permits

Figure 7: Industry Depictions of Canadian Permits in the Late 60s and Early 70s Did Not Make Reference to Provincial Claims

Figure 8: N & L Conduct Did Not Respect the Alleged 135° Line

Figure 9: Interim Permit Issued to Katy on May 19, 1971

Figure 10: Interim Permit Issued to Katy on May 19, 1971

Figure 11: Nova Scotia Coasts West of Cape Canso Do Not Project Into the Area to be Delimited

Figure 12: Nova Scotia Uses an Incidental Feature as the Pivotal Point for the Construction of its Line

Figure 13: Nova Scotia Reconfigures the Alignment of its Coasts

Figure 14: A Straight Line Inside and Outside a Concavity Would be Appropriate Only When the Controlling Coasts in Both
Sections are the Same

Figure 15: The Disproportionate Result Produced by the Nova Scotia Line

Figure 16: Nova Scotia Demonstrates That Its Line Completely Blocks the Coastal Projection of the South Coast of Newfoundland

Figure 17: The Relevant Coasts

Figure 18: The Inner Concavity and the Outer Area; The Relevant Area

Figure 19: The Construction of the Newfoundland and Labrador Line

Figure 20: The Newfoundland and Labrador Line

Figure 21: Equity of the Result – Proportionality Test